Application No.: 10/577,286

Amendment and Response dated May 30, 2008 Reply to Final Office Action of April 2, 2008

Docket No.: 903-186 PCT/US

Page 2

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the subject

application, and please amend the claims as follows:

Claim 1. (Currently amended): Inspection device for inspecting objects with a

substantially spherical surface, such as for example eggs or fruit, comprising:

a conveyor adapted to convey a plurality of objects placed next to each other in a

direction transverse to the conveying direction,

at least one camera for observing the objects on the conveyor,

a box with reflective side walls having a mirroring surface[[,]] which is positioned

above the conveyor and in which the at least one camera is accommodated, the mirroring

surface consisting essentially of four reflective side wall mirrors having a coefficient of

reflection of at least 0.8, where the four reflective side wall mirrors are side walls that define

the box, and

a light source which is accommodated in the box opposite the conveyor for

illuminating the objects from above, the light source having a substantially even light plane

directed towards the inside of the box, and which light source, as a result of the mirroring side

walls, recurs on all sides so as to provide uniform illumination of the objects on the conveyor

from all sides.

Claim 2. (Canceled)

Claim 3. (Currently amended): Inspection device according to claim 1, wherein the

box is substantially rectangular and has a top cover plate and joining the four reflective side

walls.

Claims 4-5. (Canceled)

Application No.: 10/577,286

Amendment and Response dated May 30, 2008 Reply to Final Office Action of April 2, 2008

Docket No.: 903-186 PCT/US

Page 3

Claim 6. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 1, wherein the reflective side walls are made from metal.

Claim 7. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 1, wherein the light source is arranged on the top side of the box.

Claim 8. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 7, wherein the light source has a uniform radiation plane.

Claim 9. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 7, wherein the light source comprises one or more lamps which are distributed substantially uniformly.

Claim 10. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 8, wherein the light source is arranged beneath the top cover plate above one or more diffusor plates.

Claims 11-12. (Canceled)

Claim 13. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 1, wherein the device has at least two cameras which are arranged on opposite sides of the box.

Claim 14. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 1 wherein the device comprises a computer which is coupled to the at least one camera in order to compare the observations with predetermined reference values for automatic selection of the objects.

Claim 15. (Canceled)

Application No.: 10/577,286

Amendment and Response dated May 30, 2008 Reply to Final Office Action of April 2, 2008

Docket No.: 903-186 PCT/US

Page 4

Claim 16. (Previously presented): Inspection device according to claim 1, wherein the conveyor is designed in such a manner that the objects execute a rolling movement as they are being conveyed.